## Sieve: Cryptographically Enforced Access Control for User Data in Untrusted Clouds

Frank Wang (MIT CSAIL), James Mickens (Harvard), Nickolai Zeldovich (MIT CSAIL), Vinod Vaikuntanathan (MIT CSAIL)

## Motivation



#### FitBit Cloud Server







#### **Motivation**



#### FitBit Cloud Server



## Motivation



#### FitBit Cloud Server







#### Problem: Curious storage provider or external attacker



#### Problem: Curious storage provider or external attacker

























# Contributions

- Sieve: a new platform that allows users to selectively and securely disclose their data
  - Sieve protects against server compromise
  - Sieve hides key management from users
  - Reasonable performance
  - Sieve supports revocation
  - Sieves allows users to recover from device loss
  - Good for web services that analyze user data

# Outline

- Sieve
  - Protocol
  - Optimizations
  - Revocation
  - Device Loss
- Implementation
- Evaluation

User

Storage Provider





User

Storage Provider



Sieve user client



Sieve storage daemon

Web services



User

Storage Provider



Sieve user client





Sieve storage daemon

Web services



User





Sieve user client



Sieve storage daemon

Location=US, Year=2012, Type=fitness Year=2015, Type=financial Web services



User





Sieve user client



(Year < 2013 AND Type=Fitness)



Sieve storage daemon

Location=US, Year=2012, Type=fitness Year=2015, Type=financial Web services



User





Sieve user client



Sieve storage daemon



Web services



Sieve data import



(Year < 2013 AND Type=Fitness)

User

Storage Provider



Sieve user client



Sieve storage daemon





User

Storage Provider



Sieve user client



Sieve storage daemon

Location=US, Year=2012, Type=fitness Year=2015, Type=financial



User

Storage Provider



Sieve user client



Sieve storage daemon

Location=US, Year=2012, Type=fitness Year=2015, Type=financial



# **Threat Model**

- Storage provider is a passive adversary
  - Adversary can read all data
  - Follows protocol
- Web services trusted with user data they are given access to
- User and her devices trusted

- Assume that user-specific ABE public/private key pair
- Three main functions

- Assume that user-specific ABE public/private key pair
- Three main functions

GenerateDecKey

Encrypt

Decrypt

- Assume that user-specific ABE public/private key pair
- Three main functions



- Assume that user-specific ABE public/private key pair
- Three main functions



- Assume that user-specific ABE public/private key pair
- Three main functions



- Assume that user-specific ABE public/private key pair
- Three main functions



- Assume that user-specific ABE public/private key pair
- Three main functions



- Assume that user-specific ABE public/private key pair
- Three main functions


#### Our approach: Attribute-based encryption (ABE)

- Assume that user-specific ABE public/private key pair
- Three main functions



Note: attributes and policy are in cleartext

User

Storage Provider



Sieve user client



Sieve storage daemon

Web services



User

Storage Provider



Sieve user client



Sieve storage daemon

Web services



User



Sieve user client

ABE Encrypt



Sieve storage daemon

Location=US, Year=2012, Type=fitness

0.0

Year=2015, Type=financial Web services



User

**Storage Provider** 



Sieve user client

ABE Encrypt

(Year < 2013 AND Type=Fitness)

ABE GenerateDecKey



Sieve storage daemon



Year=2012, Type=fitness

Year=2015, Type=financial

Location=US,

Web services



User



Sieve user client

ABE Encrypt



Web services



Sieve data import



ABE GenerateDecKey

User

Storage Provider



Sieve user client

ABE Encrypt



Sieve storage daemon

Location=US, Year=2012, Type=fitness

Year=2015, Type=financial Web services



User

**Storage Provider** 

Sieve user client

ABF Encrypt

Sieve storage daemon 01101010 Location=US, 10101010 Year=2012, 101100 101100 160 Type=fitness 160 Year=2015, Type=financial (Year < 2013 AND Type=Fitness) ABE Decrypt

Web services

Sieve data import Location=US, Year=2012, Type=fitness

ABE GenerateDecKey

11

# Challenges with ABE

- Performance
- Revocation
- Device Loss

## **Reduce ABE Operations**

- ABE is a public-key cryptosystem so slower than symmetric key cryptography
- Optimizations
  - Hybrid Encryption
  - Storage-based data structure















Only have to perform symmetric key operations in future









# Challenges with ABE

- Performance
- Revocation
- Device Loss









#### Re-encryption with Hybrid Encryption

- Need to re-encrypt metadata and data
  - Easy to re-encrypt metadata block
  - How do we re-encrypt data object?
    - Download, re-encrypt, and upload
    - Requires substantial bandwidth and client-side computation

# Solution: Key Homomorphism

- Allows changing key in encrypted data
  - Symmetric cipher that provides *in-place* encryption
- Does not learn old key, new key, or plaintext
- More specifics on scheme are in the paper

re-






















#### **Full Revocation Process**



#### **Full Revocation Process**





Issue new keys to web services whose data access has been changed and affected by revocation

# Challenges with ABE

- Performance
- Revocation
- Device Loss

# What if a user loses her device?

- User has ABE private key
- Loss of key requires reset of system
  - Re-encrypting all her data and issuing new keys
- Is there a way for a user to recover from device loss?

# Solution: Secret sharing

- User splits her ABE private key across devices
- Requires a threshold to reconstruct secret
  - Reconstruct before using ABE private key
- When a device is lost, gathers devices to reconstruct secret and issue new "shares"

# Outline

- Sieve
  - Protocol
  - Optimizations
  - Revocation
  - Device Loss
- Implementation
- Evaluation

# Sieve Implementation

#### **Cryptography:**

- Libfenc with Stanford PBC for ABE
- AES (no revocation) and randomized counter mode with Ed448 (revocation)

# Sieve Implementation

#### **Cryptography:**

- Libfenc with Stanford PBC for ABE
- AES (no revocation) and randomized counter mode with Ed448 (revocation)

User



Sieve user client

• ~1400 LoC

#### Storage Provider



Sieve storage daemon

- ~1000 LoC
- MongoDB and BerkeleyDB

Web services



Sieve data import

• Service-specific

#### Evaluation

- Is it easy to integrate Sieve into existing web services?
- Can web services achieve reasonable performance while using Sieve?

# **Evaluation Setup**

- Multicore machine, 2.4 GHz Intel Xeon
- Web servers ran on machine's loopback
  - Minimize network latency
  - Focus on cryptographic overheads

#### **Case Studies**

- Integrated with 2 open source web services
  - Open mHealth, health: small data
    - Visualize health data
    - One week's health data: 6 KB
  - Piwigo, photo: large data
    - Edit and display photos
    - One photo: 375 KB

# Easy to integrate with Sieve

- Lines of code required for integration
  - Open mHealth: ~ 200 lines
  - Piwigo: ~ 250 lines

#### Acceptable performance for Open mHealth and Piwigo

Ed448 with key caching



#### Performance gap between AES and Ed448



# Server per-core throughput is good

- Open mHealth
  - Storage write: 50 MB/s
  - Web service import: 70 users/min (Ed448)
- Piwigo
  - Storage write: 200 MB/s
  - Web service import: 14 photos/min (Ed448)

#### Revocation performance is reasonable

- Re-encrypt a metadata block (10 attrs): 0.63 s
- Re-key 100 KB data block: 0.66 s
- Generate new 10 attribute key: 0.46 s

# Secret sharing is fast

- For 5 shares and threshold of 2:
  - Splitting ABE key requires 0.04 ms
  - Reconstructing key requires 0.09 ms

# Summary

- Required < 250 LoC to integrate with case studies
- Read and write data in reasonable amount of time
- Good per-core server throughput for storage writes and application data imports
- Revocation functions take < 1 second
- Secret sharing takes negligible time

- Untrusted Servers
  - ShadowCrypt, SUNDR, Depot, SPORC, CryptDB, DepSky, Bstore, Mylar, Privly
- ABE and Predicate Encryption Storage
  - Persona, Priv.io, Catchet (ABE)
  - GORAM (Predicate)
- Access Delegation Schemes
  - OAuth, AAuth, Macaroons

Untrusted Servers

Solve different problems than Sieve

- ABE and Predicate Encryption Storage
  - Persona, Priv.io, Catchet (ABE)
  - GORAM (Predicate)
- Access Delegation Schemes
  - OAuth, AAuth, Macaroons

Sky,

• Untrusted Servers

Solve different problems than Sieve

• ABE and Predicate Encryption Storage

No complete revocation and/or ability to recover from device loss

Access Delegation Schemes

 OAuth, AAuth, Macaroons

Sky,

Untrusted Servers

Solve different problems than Sieve

• ABE and Predicate Encryption Storage

No complete revocation and/or ability to recover from device loss

• Access Delegation Schemes

Less secure and expressive than Sieve

Sky,

### Conclusions

- Sieve is a new access control system that allows users to *selectively* and *securely* expose their private cloud data to web services
- Efficiently use ABE to manage keys and policies
- Complete revocation scheme compatible with hybrid encryption using key homomorphism
- Easy to integrate and reasonable performance